JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General of the State of California
ALVIN J. KOROBKIN,
Deputy Attorney General
110 West A Street, Suite 700
San Diego, California 92101
Telephone: (619) 237-7509

Attorneys for Complainant

## BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY

BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

## DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation

Against:

ALBERT E. THILL, M.D.

2658 Nido Way

Laguna Beach, California 92651

Physician's and Surgeon's

Certificate No. A-013488

Respondent.

)

No. D-3427

)

STIPULATION IN

DECISION

)

PRESPONDENT

)

Respondent.

Albert E. Thill, M.D., respondent and Kenneth Wagstaff, Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance, stipulate and agree:

- l. An accusation against respondent, No. D-3427, was filed November 13, 1985, and is pending.
- 2. Respondent has reviewed this matter with his attorney, Marc S. Klein, Esq., concerning the accusation and this stipulation.
- 3. Respondent is aware of the charges in the accusation, and is aware of his rights to a hearing, to

FIDE

URT PAPER TE OF CALIFORNIA ), 113 (REV. 8-72)

reconsideration, appeal, and his other rights pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act.

- 4. In consideration for entering into the stipulation, respondent waives his rights to a hearing, reconsideration and appeal and agrees this stipulation shall resolve and terminate the proceeding commenced by the accusation.
- 5. For purposes of this settlement and for no other purposes, respondent admits that the allegations of paragraph four of the accusation are true.
- 6. Based on paragraph five, <u>supra</u>, respondent acknowledges cause for discipline against him has been established under Business and Professions Code section 2236(a) and 2237.
- 7. Based upon the accusation and the foregoing admissions, the parties stipulate the Division of Medical Quality may issue an order upon this Stipulation as follows:
  - A. Certificate No. A 27978 issued to respondent is revoked.
  - B. However, revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five years upon the following terms and conditions:
  - (1) As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for six months beginning the effective date of this decision, with credit given for time already served at Lompoc Federal Prison.
  - (2) Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall take and pass an

oral/clinical examination in family practice to be administered by the Division or its designee. If respondent fails this examination, respondent must wait sixty days between reexaminations, except that after three failures, respondent must wait one year to take each necessary reexamination thereafter. The Division shall pay the cost of the first examination and respondent shall pay the costs of any subsequent examinations.

Respondent shall not practice medicine until respondent has passed this examination and has been so notified by the Division in writing. Respondent may, however, take the examination prior to the effective date of the decision, but after acceptance of the stipulation by the Division.

(3) Respondent shall not prescribe, administer, dispense, order or possess any controlled substances as defined by the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, except for those drugs listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the Act.

Respondent shall immediately surrender respondent's current DEA permit to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation and reapply for a new DEA permit limited to those schedules authorized by this order.

(4) Respondent is prohibited from engaging in solo practice. Within 30 days of the

18 19

16

17

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division, and receive its prior approval for, a plan of practice limited to a supervised, structured environment in which respondent's activities will be overseen and supervised by another physician.

- Within 90 days of the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis, thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval, an educational program or course related to pharmacological therapeutics, which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division.
- Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

- Respondent shall comply with the (8) Division's surveillance program.
- (9) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- In the event respondent should leave (10)California to reside or to practice outside the State, respondent must notify the Division in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- Upon successful completion of probation, C • respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- If respondent violates probation, the D. Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final.
- If this Stipulation is not accepted by the Division in its entirety, it shall be withdrawn by the parties, and have no effect whatsoever.

1	I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, HAVE BEEN
2	COUNSELLED WITH RESPECT TO ITS TERMS, AND FREELY AND VOLUNTARILY
3	AGREED THERETO:
4	DAMED 10/23/86 albert E. Thice M. D
5	DATED: 10/23/86 Cilluent E. Mice M. D.  ALBERT E. THILL, M.D.
6	Respondent
7	
8	10/22/c1 My. C.
9	DATED: /0/27/86 /MARC S. KLEIN, Esq.
10	
11	DATED: $11/4/86$ JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP
12	DATED: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP Attorney General
13	$\sim 1 \times 1 $
14	Won Joroth
15	ALVIN J. KORÓBKIN Deputy Attorney General
16	Attorneys for Complainant
17	The foregoing Stipulation is accepted as the decision of
18	
19	the Division of Medical Quality.
20	IT IS SO ORDERED this 18th day of November ,
21	1986.
22	The effective date of this decision shall be
23	December 18 , 1986.
24	
25	DIVISION OF MEDICAL
26	Board of Medical Quality Assurance State of California
27	AJK:gm

OURT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA D. 113 (REV. 8-72)

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General of the State of California DAVID CHANDLER, Deputy Attorney General 3 110 West A Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 In the Matter of the Accusation No. D-3427 Against: 14 ALBERT E. THILL, M.D. ACCUSATION 15 2658 Nido Way Laguna Beach, California 92651) 16 Certificate No. A-013488 17 Approval to Supervise Physician's Assistant No. 18 SA 12867 19 Respondent.

## KENNETH WAGSTAFF alleges:

- 1. He is the executive director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance and makes this accusation in his official capacity.
- 2. On January 11, 1950, the Board issued Physicians and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-123488 to respondent. The certificate is in full force and effect. On November 20, 1981,

IRT PAPER
'E OF CALIFORNIA
113 (REV 8-72)

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

END D

 the Board issued respondent a certificate of approval to supervise a physician's assistant. This certificate is in delinquent status, having expired February 28, 1982.

- 3. This accusation is made in reference to the following sections of the Business and Profession Code:
- A. Sections 2220 and 2234(a) provide, in part, that the Division may take action against all persons guilty of violating the provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
  - B. Sections 2236(a) and (b) provide:
  - "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter.
  - "(b) The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if such conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
  - "(c) The conviction of a charge of violating any federal statutes or regulations or any statute or regulation of this state, regulating narcotics, dangerous drugs, or controlled substances, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such

unprofessional conduct. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section.

"(d) Section 3527 provides, in pertinent part, that the board may order the suspension and revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, an approval to supervise a physician's assistant for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, a violation of the State Medical Practice Act, or a violation of the regulations adopted by the Board.

"(e) Section 118, subidivision (B), of the Business and Professions Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration by operation of law of a license issued by a board shall not during any period in which it may be renewed, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground."

4. Respondent is subject to discipline on account of the following:

On March 25, 1985, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of two counts of violation of

Title 21, United States Code, section 841(a)(1), intentionally writing Schedule II controlled substance prescriptions (Preludin, 75 mg. Endurets) outside the usual course of his professional practice and not for a legitimate medical purpose.

Respondent was sentenced to 18 months in prison, a \$5,000 fine, two years special parole and four years additional probation.

The above conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a physician and surgeon and constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for discipline under Business and Professions Code, section 2236(a) and 2237 in conjunction with sections 2220 and 2234(a) and section 3527.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays a hearing be held on the matters alleged and after hearing and the taking of evidence that the Division issue an order revoking or suspending respondent's certificate or placing him on probation.

DATED: November 13, 1985

KENNETH

Executive Director

Board of Medical Quality Assurance Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant